

## Bühlmann Credibility

- Let

$$X_i|\theta \sim f(x|\mu(\theta), \sigma^2(\theta))$$

denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  observation of a claim count (frequency), claim amount (severity), or total claim cost (pure premium), where:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\theta) &= E_{X|\theta} [X_i|\theta], \text{ the hypothetical mean (HM), and} \\ \sigma^2(\theta) &= \text{Var}_{X|\theta} [X_i|\theta], \text{ the process variance (PV).} \end{aligned}$$

- Let

$$\theta \sim \pi(\theta)$$

denote a “state of nature” parameter, where:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta}[\mu(\theta)] &= \text{the prior mean (H),} \\ \text{Var}_{\theta}[\mu(\theta)] &= \text{the variance of the hypothetical means (VHM),} \\ E_{\theta}[\sigma^2(\theta)] &= \text{the expected value of the process variance (EVPV), and} \\ R = \bar{X} &, \text{ a recent observation.} \end{aligned}$$

- Estimate the Bayesian posterior mean,  $E_{\theta|X}[\mu(\theta)|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ , using the Bühlmann credibility estimator,

$$C = zR + (1 - z)H,$$

where:

$$z = \frac{n}{n + k},$$

$n$  = sample size used to calculate  $\bar{X}$ , and

$$k = \frac{\text{EVPV}}{\text{VHM}} = \frac{E_{\theta}[\sigma^2(\theta)]}{\text{Var}_{\theta}[\mu(\theta)]}.$$

- The following is a helpful way of thinking about  $n$ :

$$n = \frac{\text{number of exposure units associated with } R}{\text{number of exposure units associated with } C}.$$

- Estimating  $VHM$ :

In practice,  $VHM$  may not initially be known, but may be estimated using the total variance formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_X [X_i] &= E_0 \left[ \text{Var}_{X_i|\theta} [X_i|\theta] \right] + \text{Var}_0 \left[ E_{X_i|\theta} [X_i|\theta] \right] \\ &= \text{EVPV} + \text{VHM}. \end{aligned}$$

Given observations  $X_i|\theta$  that are grouped according to different values of the underlying parameter  $\theta$ , it is possible:

- (1) to estimate  $\text{Var}_X [X_i]$  using the overall sample variance of the  $X_i$ , and
- (2) to estimate  $\text{EVPV}$  by first estimating  $\text{Var}_{X_i|\theta} [X_i|\theta]$  for each  $\theta$ , and then averaging over all values of  $\theta$ .

*Caution:* This approach yields an estimator of  $VHM$  that may sometimes be negative.

Note that if  $X_i|\theta \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$ , then

$$\text{Var}_{X_i|\theta} [X_i|\theta] = E_{X_i|\theta} [X_i|\theta] = \theta, \text{ and so}$$

$$\text{EVPV} = E_0 \left[ E_{X_i|\theta} [X_i|\theta] \right] = E_X [X_i].$$

Thus, in this case,  $\text{EVPV}$  may be estimated using the overall sample mean of the  $X_i$ .